

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	06/756+025	07/17/85	SMARINGEN	ATTOMINE POCKET NO.	
LITKE, BRONSTEIN, ROBERTS, CUSHMAN & THROUGH THE PROMISE TO THE STREET T				URNEHS: EEFYAMINER	
	BOSTON, MA 0:			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	This is a communication	on from the examine	in charge of your application.	DATE MAILED: 26/16/87	
_	,		TENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
A shorter	application has been exa	sponse to this action	sponsive to communication filed on 2/5/27  in is set to expire 2 month(s), da	vs from the date of this letter	
Failure t	o respond within the perio	od for response will o	cause the application to become abandoned. 35	U.S.C. 133	
	THE FOLLOWING AT  Notice of References (  Notice of Art Cited by  Information on How to	Cited by Examiner, P Applicant, PTO-1449	4. Notice of informa	Drawing, PTO-948.  Patent Application, Form PTO-152	
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION		,		
1. 🏗	Claims	19-41		are pending in the application.	
	Of the above, c	laims		are withdrawn from consideration.	
2. [	Claims			have been cancelled.	
3. [	Claims			are allowed,	
	Claims			are rejected.	
s. 🖈	Ctaims 19- 1	<u> </u>		are objected to.	
. 6	Claims			eject to restriction or election requirement.	
7.	7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.				
8	8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.				
9. <u> </u>	The corrected or substi	tute drawings have be explanation).	een received on The	se drawings areacceptable;	
10.	The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).				
11.	11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes, it is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.				
12.	Acknowledgment is made	de of the claim for pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy ha	s been received not been received	
1	been filed in paren	t application, serial	no; filed on		
13.	3 Since this application a accordance with the pra	appears to be in cond actice under Ex parte	ition for allowance except for formal matters, pros Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed in	
14.	Other				

Serial No. 756025 Art Unit 129

The references supplied by applicants have been placed in the files. However, applicants should note that the references have not been cited by the Examiner since applicants have not fully complied with the requirements set forth in MPEP 609. Applicants are advised that if it is their wish that references be cited in any patent which may issue from this case, then applicants must comply fully with the requirements set forth at MPEP 609, including the citation of the references on form PTO-1449.

Claims 19-41 are in this case.

Claims 19-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 is an improper composition claim since it reads only on compounds and no added carrier. The claim is an improper dependent claim since there is no recitation in claim 20 of the "RR-RR" diastereomers as claim 23 reads. The claim should recite an "effective amount" of the active compound.

Claims 28 is an improper dependent claim since claim 23 does not recite a mixture.

Claim 31 appears to be a duplicate of claims 21-22.

Claim 35 is an improper multiple dependent claim since it does not depend from the claims in the alternative.

Claims 19-41 are considered to be unduly multiplied and without adequate support in the disclosure for each of the alternative forms of the compounds recited in the disclosure. It would appear that the invention can be adequately claimed in no more than ten (10) claims. Further there is not seen in the disclosure adequate support and/or description of each of the isomeric forms of the compounds recited in the claims. Therefore, it is requested that applicant reduce the claims to no more than ten (10) and reading only on compounds, and a method finding adequate support in the disclosure.

All claims are rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner J. H. Turnipseed at telephone number 703-557-3920.

JHTurnipseed:ce

6-12-87

GLENNON H. HOLLRAY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 129